

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Adam Steele, Brittany Montrois, and Joseph
Henchman, on behalf of themselves and all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

United States of America,

Defendant.

Case No. 14-cv-01523-RCL

PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION TO CLARIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER

On February 25, 2016, the Court entered an Order Modifying the Scheduling Order. ECF No. 58. That Order provided:

Within thirty days of the Court's ruling on the plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration, the parties shall file and serve any dispositive motions on County One of the Amended Class Action Complaint. Responses to such motions shall be filed and served within thirty days of service of initial briefs, and any replies shall be filed and served within fourteen days of service of response briefs.

Id.

On August 9, 2016, the day after the Court granted Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration, the parties discussed their understanding of the due dates for the upcoming briefs. *See* E-mail correspondence between Meghan Oliver and Christopher Williamson (Aug. 9, 2016) (attached as Exhibit A). The parties agreed to the following due dates, "[p]ursuant to yesterday's order, and the scheduling order of February 25, 2016":

Dispositive motions on Count One of the Amended Class Action Complaint are due (filed and served) on Wednesday, September 7, 2016.

Any oppositions to such dispositive motions are due on Tuesday, October 11, 2016.

Any replies in support of any such dispositive motions are due on Thursday, October 27.

Id.

Consistent with those agreed-upon dates and the Scheduling Order, Plaintiffs and the United States filed their dispositive motions on September 7, 2016. Also consistent with that agreed-upon schedule and the Scheduling Order, Plaintiffs filed their opposition on October 11, 2016. The United States filed its opposition early on Friday, October 7, 2016.

Plaintiffs and the United States have conferred and agree, based on the attached email exchange, that all reply briefs should be due on Thursday, October 27. Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court grant this unopposed motion to clarify the scheduling order and confirm that the upcoming replies in support of the parties' dispositive motions (ECF Nos. 66, ECF 67) are due on October 27.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ William H. Narwold
MOTLEY RICE LLC

William H. Narwold
bnarwold@motleyrice.com
DC Bar No. 502352
One Corporate Center
20 Church Street, 17th Floor
Hartford, CT 06103
Telephone: (860) 882-1676
Facsimile: (860) 882-1682

Meghan S.B. Oliver
moliver@motleyrice.com
William Tinkler
wtinkler@motleyrice.com

MOTLEY RICE LLC
28 Bridgeside Boulevard
Mount Pleasant, SC 29464
Telephone: (843) 216-9000
Facsimile: (843) 216-9450

GUPTA WESSLER PLLC

Deepak Gupta
deepak@guptawessler.com
Jonathan E. Taylor
jon@guptawessler.com
1735 20th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20009
Telephone: (202) 888-1741
Facsimile: (202) 888-7792

CAPLIN & DRYSDALE, CHARTERED

Christopher S. Rizek
crizek@capdale.com
One Thomas Circle, NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 862-8852
Facsimile: (202) 429-3301

LAW OFFICE OF ALLEN BUCKLEY LLC

Allen Buckley
ab@allenbuckleylaw.com
2802 Paces Ferry Road, Suite 100-C
Atlanta, GA 30339
Telephone: (404) 610-1936
Facsimile: (770) 319-0110

October 19, 2016

*Counsel for Plaintiffs Adam Steele, Brittany
Montrois, Joseph Henchman, and the Class*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 19, 2016, I electronically filed plaintiffs' unopposed motion to clarify the scheduling order through this Court's CM/ECF system. I understand that notice of this filing will be sent to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system.

/s/ William H. Narwold

William H. Narwold