IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

|) Civil Action No.: 1:14-cv-01523-RCI |
|---------------------------------------|
|) |
|) () () |
| |

[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF SUPPLEMENTAL CLASS NOTICE

WHEREAS, on August 8, 2016, this Court certified a class that included "[a]ll individuals and entities who have paid an initial and/or renewal fee for a PTIN, excluding Allen Buckley, Allen Buckley LLC, and Christopher Rizek." ECF No. 63.

WHEREAS, on July 10, 2017, this Court entered final judgment and ordered that the "plaintiffs provide notice and an opportunity for exclusion to any class members who have paid initial PTIN fees after August 20, 2016, and did not receive notice of pendency of this action and did not have an opportunity to exclude themselves before December 7, 2016." ECF No. 82.

WHEREAS, on January 5, 2018, this Court approved the plaintiffs' plan of supplemental notice for all individuals who obtained PTINs between August 20, 2016 and July 10, 2017 with an opt-out period that expired April 13, 2018. ECF No. 97.

WHEREAS, on December 4, 2020, this Court granted in part the plaintiffs' motion to file a second amended complaint with respect to "the amount of PTIN fees collected in and after 2020." ECF No. 145 at 6; ECF No. 146.

Therefore, pursuant to Rule 23(c)(2)(B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and based upon the record and the Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Approval of Plan of Supplemental Class Notice ("Plaintiffs' Motion");

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Plaintiffs' Motion is GRANTED.
- 2. The supplemental long-form class action notice ("Supplemental Long-Form Notice"); the supplemental e-mail class action notice ("Supplemental E-mail Notice"); and supplemental postcard class action notice ("Supplemental Postcard Notice") are hereby approved as to form. See Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 to Plaintiffs' Motion.
- 3. To the extent they are not already produced, the United States shall produce to the plaintiffs the names, e-mail addresses, and postal addresses of class members who paid a PTIN fee for the first time on or after August 17, 2020 ("Supplemental Notice Group").
- 4. Within thirty days of the entry of this Order, KCC LLC (the "Claims Administrator") shall cause the Supplemental E-mail Notice to be disseminated, in substantially the form attached as Exhibit 2 to Plaintiffs' Motion, by sending it via e-mail to members of the Supplemental Notice Group. The Supplemental E-mail Notice shall direct members of the Supplemental Notice Group to the website that was established by the Claims Administrator pursuant to this Court's September 9, 2016 Order Approving Plan of Class Notice (ECF No. 68). In addition to the documents listed in paragraph 4 of the September 9, 2016 and January 5, 2018 Order, the website shall include the Supplemental Long-Form Notice (Exhibit 1 to Plaintiffs' Motion), this Order; Judgment and Mandate (ECF No. 98); Motion to Amend Complaint (ECF No. 133); Joint Stipulation Regarding Motion to Amend Complaint (ECF No. 139); the Stipulation Regarding Post-2019 PTIN Fees (ECF No. 144); the Memorandum of Opinion (ECF

No. 145); Order (ECF No. 146); the Second Amended Class Action Complaint (ECF No. 148),

and the Answer to Second Amended Class Action Complaint (ECF No. 155).

5. Within forty-five days of the entry of this Order, the Claims Administrator shall

cause the Supplemental Postcard Notice to be disseminated, in substantially the form attached as

Exhibit 3 to Plaintiffs' Motion, by sending it via U.S. mail to all members of the Supplemental

Notice Group (1) without an e-mail address or (2) for whom e-mail delivery was unsuccessful.

The Supplemental Postcard Notice shall direct members of the Supplemental Notice Group to

the website that was established by the Claims Administrator pursuant to this Court's September

9, 2016 Order.

6. The opt-out period shall expire on June 3, 2022.

7. The Court finds that the dissemination of the Supplemental Notice under the

terms and in the format provided for in Plaintiffs' Motion and this Order constitutes the best

notice practicable under the circumstances, that it is due and sufficient notice for all purposes to

all persons entitled to such notice, and that it fully satisfies the requirements of due process and

all other applicable laws.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 8, 2022

The Honorable Royce C. Lamberth

Senior United States District Judge

3